

CARMELA LOGUERCIO

GUT MICROBIOTA AND GASTROINTESTINAL TRACT, LIVER AND PANCREAS

From physiology to pathology



EDIZIONI MINERVA MEDICA

This book has also been published as issue n. 04/2017 of the Journal Minerva Gastroenterologica.

ISBN: 978-88-7711-938-4

©2018 – EDIZIONI MINERVA MEDICA S.p.A. – Corso Bramante 83/85 – 10126 Torino
Web site: www.minervamedica.it / *e-mail:* minervamedica@minervamedica.it

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means.

PREFACE

ABOUT GUT MICROBIOTA: WHY?

The human organism is colonized by an enormous number of microbes, both on its surface and its inside. The set of microorganisms living in our gut is defined gut microbiota, which is considered now a functional organ of our body. Gut microbiota plays a key role in regulating both health and disease; its quantitative and/or qualitative variations, by altering the homeostasis between microbes and host, may lead to the onset of various diseases. Disorders associated with the impairment of gut microbiota include functional gastrointestinal diseases, intestinal infectious diseases, inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), liver and pancreatic diseases, gastrointestinal malignancies, but also metabolic syndrome and diabetes and obesity, allergic diseases, autism, and others. Intestinal microbiota development is a complex process that begins in utero and continues for the first 2-3 years of life. Several factors influence the microbiome over these critical early months and years of life and by 2 to 3 years of age, the child's microbiota has come to closely resemble that of an adult in terms of composition. Thereafter, the microbiota is thought to remain relatively stable until old age when changes are seen, possibly related to alterations in digestive physiology and diet. Antibiotic and other exposures during the early years of life, when the microbiome is in evolution, may be especially deleterious and could result in metabolic and inflammatory disorders later in life. The intestinal microbiota is considered a signaling hub that integrates environmental inputs, such as diet, with genetic and immune signals to affect the host's metabolism, immunity, including autoimmunity and autoinflammation and elaborate networks linked to several organs. For example, it is long time recognized the importance of gut micro-organisms as a whole essential metabolic organ in biotransformation of bile acids and breakdown of otherwise indigestible dietary components, such as plant polysaccharides and production of short-chain fatty acids, a major energy source for colonic epithelium, from fermentable carbohydrates. In this setting, microbiota promotes absorption of monosaccharides from the gut lumen, with consequent induction of hepatic lipogenesis; moreover, it suppresses fasting-induced adipocyte factor, a lipoprotein lipase inhibitor, allowing the deposition of triglycerides in the adipocytes. Gut microbiota may interfere in host metabolism by the modulation of inflammatory pathways. Enrichment in Gram-negative species results in an increase of lipopolysaccharide absorption, which leads to the so-called "metabolic endotoxemia." In addition, farnesoid X receptor regulates the synthesis, transport and enterohepatic circulation of bile acids (BA) by modulating the expression of related genes in the liver and small intestine. Other activities promoted by our intestinal microbes include synthesis of biotin, folate, and vitamin K. Intestinal microbiota has been linked to enteric bacterial enzymes such as azoreductase to convert prodrugs such as sulfasalazine to active drug metabolites (*e.g.*, aminosalicylate). Other examples of bacterial action on drug bioavailability include the metabolism of l-dopa to dopamine and degradation of digoxin. Although bacteria probably degrade some carcinogens, they also might promote

the production of carcinogens from dietary procarcinogens. Gut microbiota is strictly involved in the development of the mucosa- or, gut-associated immune system (mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue or gut-associated lymphoid tissue), immunologic tolerance, epithelial and barrier function, motility, and vascularity. Finally, a growing body of evidence points to the microbiota playing a significant role in modulating brain function and behaviour and that the “microbiota-gut-brain axis” poised as a bidirectional communication pathway enabling gut microbes to communicate with the brain, and the brain with the gut. The mechanisms of communication include immune, neural, endocrine and metabolic pathway.

Based on these recent knowledges, there is increasing interest on gut microbiota modulation as both a preventive strategy or as a therapeutic option in different gastrointestinal, hepatic and systemic diseases. Many studies have tried to find out the impact of diet, prebiotic, probiotic and antibiotics on gut microbiota composition and function, obtaining very heterogeneous and sometimes conflicting results, especially as regards the effect on clinical outcomes. In 2016 an european consensus conference on fecal transplantation in clinical practice was hold in Rome.

The continuous use of words such as gastrointestinal microbiome, probiotics, prebiotics, fecal microbiota transplantation also through the media communications, suggests that this monography, which is totally dedicated to the main relationships between gut ecological system and diseases based on the most recent literature, could be a useful instrument both for research and for clinical practice in medicine and biology.

CARMELA LOGUERCIO

*Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine,
University of Campania “L. Vanvitelli”, Naples, Italy*

AUTHORS

Stefano Bibbò

Department of Internal Medicine,
Gastroenterology Division,
Policlinico “A. Gemelli” Hospital, Rome, Italy

Cecilia Binda

Department of Internal Medicine,
Gastroenterology Division,
Policlinico “A. Gemelli” Hospital, Rome, Italy

Giovanni Cammarota

Department of Internal Medicine,
Gastroenterology Division,
Policlinico “A. Gemelli” Hospital, Rome, Italy

Nicola Caporaso

Gastroenterology Unit, Department
of Clinical Medicine and Surgery,
Federico II University, Naples, Italy

Giuseppe G. Caprio

Department of Precision Medicine, University
of Campania “Luigi Vanvitelli”, Italy

Gabriele Capurso

Digestive and Liver Disease Unit,
“S. Andrea” Hospital, Sapienza University,
Rome, Italy

Marcello Dallio

Department of Precision Medicine, University
of Campania “Luigi Vanvitelli”, Italy

Gianfranco Delle Fave

Digestive and Liver Disease Unit,
“S. Andrea” Hospital, Sapienza University,
Rome, Italy

Rosa Di Sarno

Department of Precision Medicine, University
of Campania “Luigi Vanvitelli”, Italy

Alessandro Federico

Department of Precision Medicine, University
of Campania “Luigi Vanvitelli”, Italy

Antonio Gasbarrini

Department of Internal Medicine,
Gastroenterology Division,
Policlinico “A. Gemelli” Hospital, Rome, Italy

Giulia Gibiino

Department of Internal Medicine,
Gastroenterology Division,
Policlinico “A. Gemelli” Hospital, Rome, Italy

Valentina Giorgio

Department of Pediatrics, “A. Gemelli”
Polyclinic, Sacro Cuore Catholic University,
Rome, Italy

Cristina Graziani

Digestive System, Endocrine and Metabolic
System Centre, Gastroenterological
Department, “A. Gemelli” Polyclinic,
“Sacro Cuore” Catholic University, Rome, Italy

Grainne Holleran

Gastroenterology Department,
Department of Clinical Medicine,
Trinity College Dublin, Dublin 2, Ireland

Gianluca Ianaro

Department of Internal Medicine,
Gastroenterology Division,
Policlinico “A. Gemelli” Hospital, Rome, Italy

Nicola Imperatore

Gastroenterology Unit, Department
of Clinical Medicine and Surgery,
Federico II University, Naples, Italy

Carmela Loguercio

Department of Precision Medicine, University of Campania “Luigi Vanvitelli”, Italy

Loris R. Lopetuso

Department of Internal Medicine, Gastroenterology Division, Policlinico “A. Gemelli” Hospital, Rome, Italy

Deirdre Mcnamara

Gastroenterology Department, Department of Clinical Medicine, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin 2, Ireland

Luca Miele

Internal Medicine, Gastroenterology and Liver Unit, “A. Gemelli” Polyclinic, Sacro Cuore Catholic University, Rome, Italy

Agnese Miranda

Department of Precision Medicine, University of Campania “Luigi Vanvitelli”, Italy

Filomena Morisco

Gastroenterology Unit, Department of Clinical Medicine and Surgery, Federico II University, Naples, Italy

Vittorio M. Orlando

Department of Precision Medicine, University of Campania “Luigi Vanvitelli”, Italy

Silvia Pecere

Department of Internal Medicine, Gastroenterology Division, Policlinico “A. Gemelli” Hospital, Rome, Italy

Valentina Petito

Department of Internal Medicine, Gastroenterology Division, Policlinico “A. Gemelli” Hospital, Rome, Italy

Marco Pizzoferrato

Department of Internal Medicine, Gastroenterology Division, Policlinico “A. Gemelli” Hospital, Rome, Italy

Francesca R. Ponziani

Department of Internal Medicine, Gastroenterology Division, Policlinico “A. Gemelli” Hospital, Rome, Italy

Roberta Roggiolani

Digestive and Liver Disease Unit, “S. Andrea” Hospital, Sapienza University, Rome, Italy

Lorenzo Romano

Department of Precision Medicine, University of Campania “Luigi Vanvitelli”, Italy

Marco Romano

Department of Precision Medicine, University of Campania “Luigi Vanvitelli”, Italy

Franco Scaldaferri

Department of Internal Medicine, Gastroenterology Division, Policlinico “A. Gemelli” Hospital, Rome, Italy

Dolores Sgambato

Department of Precision Medicine, University of Campania “Luigi Vanvitelli”, Italy

Marianna Signoretti

Digestive and Liver Disease Unit, “S. Andrea” Hospital, Sapienza University, Rome, Italy

Caterina Stornello

Digestive and Liver Disease Unit, “S. Andrea” Hospital, Sapienza University, Rome, Italy

Raffaella Tortora

Gastroenterology Unit, Department of Clinical Medicine and Surgery, Federico II University, Naples, Italy

CONTENTS

Chapter 1

The gut microbiota: its anatomy and physiology over a lifetime

1

G. Gibiino, G. Ianiro, G. Cammarota, A. Gasbarrini

The development of gut microbiota	2
Factors modulating microbiota health	2
Physiological functions of the gut microbiota	4
Conclusions	6

Chapter 2

Gut microbiota, obesity and metabolic disorders

11

A. Federico, M. Dallio, R. Di Sarno, V. Giorgio, L. Miele

Gut microbiota in obese patients: a matter of diet	12
Gut microbiota and metabolic disorders: pathogenesis	14
Conclusions	17

Chapter 3

Gut microbiota and gastric disease

21

D. Sgambato, A. Miranda, L. Romano, M. Romano

Gastric microbiota: HP and non-HP bacteria and their interaction	22
Gastric microbiota in the development of non-atrophic gastritis, intestinal metaplasia and gastric cancer	23
Gastric microbiota and dyspepsia	24
Exogenous interference on the gastric microbiota: proton pump inhibitors and diet	26
Conclusions	28

Chapter 4

Gut microbiota and functional diseases of the gastrointestinal tract

33

N. Imperatore, R. Tortora, F. Morisco, N. Caporaso

Introduction	33
Esophageal microbiota and functional GI disorders	36
Gastric microbiota and functional GI disorders	37
Duodenal microbiota and functional GI disorders	40
Bowel microbiota and functional GI disorders	43
Conclusions	50

Chapter 5

Gut microbiota and inflammatory bowel disease: so far so gut!

55

G. Holleran, L.R. Lopetuso, G. Ianaro, S. Pecere, M. Pizzoferrato, V. Petito, C. Graziani, D. McNamara, A. Gasbarrini, F. Scaldaferri

The pathogenesis of IBD and the discovery of the key role of microbiome	55
Past perspective of the role of microbiota in IBD	57
Current evidences about dysbiosis in IBD	58
Diet as primary determinant for microbiome	60
Clinical utility of medications affecting microbiome in IBD	61
Towards a more robust manipulation of the microbiome in therapeutic armamentarium of IBD: probiotics, prebiotics, fecal microbiota transplantation and emerging dietary intervention	62
Beyond or more on microbiome: emerging role of virus and yeast	63
Conclusions	63

Chapter 6

Gut microbiota and the liver

69

A. Federico, M. Dallio, G.G. Caprio, V.M. Ormando, C. Loguercio

The gut microbiota in chronic liver diseases	69
IP and liver damage	71
Gut microbiota in chronic liver diseases	73
Conclusions	81

Chapter 7

Gut microbiota and pancreatic diseases

86

M. Signoretti, R. Roggiolani, C. Stornello, G. Delle Fave, G. Capurso

Role of microbiota in acute pancreatitis	86
Role of microbiota in autoimmune pancreatitis	88
Role of the gut microbiota in chronic pancreatitis	89
Role of microbiota in pancreatic cancer	91
Conclusions	96

Chapter 8

How to modulate gut microbiota: diet, preprobiotics or antibiotics?

100

F.R. Ponziani, C. Binda, A. Gasbarrini

Diet	101
Probiotics	102
Prebiotics	103
Antibiotics	104
Conclusions	105

Chapter 9

Fecal microbiota transplantation: past, present and future perspectives

110

S. Bibbò, G. Ianiro, A. Gasbarrini, G. Cammarota

Historical overview	110
Pathophysiology of FMT	111
Procedural aspects	111
FMT for CDI	113
FMT in IBD	115
FMT in IBS and other functional bowel disorders	117
FMT beyond gastroenterological disorders	118
Future perspectives	119

THE GUT MICROBIOTA: ITS ANATOMY AND PHYSIOLOGY OVER A LIFETIME

1

G. Gibiino, G. Ianiro, G. Cammarota, A. Gasbarrini

Gut microbiota is the wide assemblage of microorganisms that inhabit our gastrointestinal tract, ranging from bacteria, archaea, yeasts,¹ and single-celled eukaryotes to helminth parasites and viruses, including bacteriophage. Though often used as synonymous, the “microbiome” is more precisely the whole range of microbes, along with their genes and genomes. Growing interest in this symbiotic cohabitation allowed also the study of “Metagenomics” considering the definition of the gene content and encoded functional attributes of the gut microbiome in healthy humans. Metabolomics is the quantitative measurement of the multiparametric (time-related) metabolic responses.²

The composition of the microbiota varies quantitatively and qualitatively over the longitudinal and the cross-sectional axes of the alimentary tract. Beyond the oral cavity, which harbors approximately 200 different bacterial species, the size and diversity of the microbiota increase distally along the digestive tract. Gastric acid restricts bacterial numbers within the stomach to fewer than 103 colony-forming units (CFU)/mL.^{3,4} The gradient in bacterial density is greatest across the ileocecal valve, with approximately 108 bacteria per gram of ileal contents and up to 1012 bacteria per gram of colonic contents, comprising more than 1000 different bacterial species. More than 99% of the culturable bacteria in the ileum and the colon are obligate anaerobes, but the composition of the flora at the mucosal surface differs from that within the lumen; ratios of anaerobes to aerobes are lower at mucosal surfaces.⁵

The microbiota of the proximal small intestine consists predominantly of Gram-positive facultative bacteria, although enterobacteria and *Bacteroides* species also may be present. Peristalsis is the principal factor restricting bacterial numbers in the small intestine. In the distal small intestine, the composition of the microflora resembles that of the colon, with a preponderance of Gram negative anaerobes. The most prominently represented genera in the distal bowel include *Bacteroides*, *Clostridium*, *Lactobacillus*, *Fusobacterium*, *Bifidobacterium*, *Eubacterium*, *Peptococcus*, and *Escherichia* species. National or multinational consortia⁵⁻⁹ provide the spectrum of composition and functions of normal gut microbiota. European consortium indicated that, at a higher level of organization, some general patterns can be identified across populations. They identified 3 broad groupings (“enterotypes”) driven by the predominance of certain species: *Bacteroides* (enterotype 1), *Prevotella* (enterotype 2), and *Ruminococcus* (enterotype 3). Enterotype prevalence seemed independent of age, Body Mass Index, or geographic location but might have been driven by differing dietary habits.^{7,8} Each of them has specific metabolic patterns and is modulated by dietary habits: a carbohydrate-rich diet, indeed, exposes to a *Prevotella* enterotype, while having a diet rich in proteins and fats promotes the development of enterotypes 1 and 3.^{8,10}

The number of studies investigating the microbiome has exploded since the technological advances in high-throughput sequencing that facilitate culture- and cloning-independent analysis.¹¹ These technical advances

have been paradigm shifting since the majority (>90%) of microbial species cannot be readily cultured using current laboratory culture techniques.¹² The most common sequencing approach to analyze the microbiome, which has been used to compile most of the data collated by the Human Microbiome Project (HMP), is amplicon analysis of the 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene.^{13, 14} In this method, a 16S rRNA region is amplified by PCR with primers that recognize highly conserved regions of the gene and sequenced.¹⁵ An alternative approach to the 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing method is whole genome shotgun sequencing (WGS) which uses sequencing with random primers to sequence overlapping regions of a genome. The major advantages of the WGS method are that the taxa can be more accurately defined at the species level. Another important consideration is that the 16S and WGS methods commonly utilize different databases for classification of taxa. However, WGS is more expensive and requires more extensive data analysis.¹⁶⁻¹⁸

THE DEVELOPMENT OF GUT MICROBIOTA

Intestinal microbiota development is a complex process that begins in utero and continues for the first 2-3 years of life.¹⁹ Chu *et al.* first introduced the concept that gut microbes should be considered as a mediating factor in the relationship between early life nutrition and later life disease, as a component of the Developmental Origins of Health and Disease (DOHaD) hypothesis.²⁰ Traditionally, it was thought that the intestinal tract is sterile at birth; new evidence indicates that the colonization of the infant's gut may begin in utero from the placenta.^{21, 22}

Emerging evidence indicates that the fecal microbiota during infancy is shaped by several prenatal and postpartum environmental factors.²³⁻²⁸ Previous studies found differences in the infant fecal microbiota on mode of delivery, initiation and duration of breastfeeding and also infant exposure to antibiotics.^{23, 29} Moreover, most recent research is focusing on how maternal diet and gestation weight gain affect the infant microbiome.³⁰⁻³² Chu *et al.*, indeed, showed that a maternal high-fat diet in gestation is associated with persistent and specific alterations in the offspring microbiome, with specific highlights on the relative depletion of *Bacteroides* species.³¹ Another specific prospective study was identified four distinct microbiota profiles: *Bifidobacterium*-dominant, enterococci/*Veilonella*-dominant, *Bacteroides*-dominant, and *Escherichia*-dominant. Infants whose mothers had higher gestational weight gain were less likely to have *Bacteroides*-dominant profile and were also associated with lower bacterial community richness.³² However, it is accepted to date that several factors influence the microbiome over these critical early months and years of life and by 2 to 3 years of age, the child's microbiota has come to closely resemble that of an adult in terms of composition.³³ Thereafter, the microbiota is thought to remain relatively stable until old age when changes are seen, possibly related to alterations in digestive physiology and diet.³⁴

FACTORS MODULATING MICROBIOTA HEALTH

Several factors can interfere with the precious homeostatic interaction between the microbiota and the host, can influence the composition of the microbiota in health and

must be accounted for in the interpretation of findings in disease. Foremost among these is diet. Both short-term dietary interventions and long-term dietary patterns influence the composition and diversity of gut microbiota.^{19, 35} General characteristics of the diet as well as the relative concentrations of specific components, such as carbohydrate, protein fat, fiber, and vitamins, have all been shown to influence the composition of the microbiota, even leading to different enterotypes, as cited above.⁸ On the dietary components, non-digestible carbohydrates, or fiber, have received the most attention as it is the primary energy source for the most gut microbes and influences bacterial fermentation, total bacteria numbers and species composition.¹⁹ As widely known, the human host does not contain digestive enzymes capable of breaking the molecular linkages contained in most complex carbohydrates and plant polysaccharides. Thus, these polysaccharides are metabolized by microbes in the colon. Holscher *et al.* summarized the current evidence linking dietary fiber and prebiotic consumption to human gastrointestinal microbiota composition and function.³⁶

Dietary fibers, carbohydrate polymers which are neither digested nor absorbed, are subjected to bacterial fermentation in the gastrointestinal tract and thus impact the composition of bacterial communities as well as microbial metabolic activities, including the production of fermentative end products. Some dietary fibers can also be classified as prebiotic. Prebiotics are defined as “*selectively fermented ingredients that result in specific changes, in the composition and/or activity of the gastrointestinal microbiota, thus conferring benefit(s) upon host health.*”³⁷ A review recently published by Sharon *et al.*, discusses the impact of consumption of dietary

fibers and prebiotics on the gastrointestinal microbiota, including the role of the ingredients’ physiochemical properties and dose, as well as the phenotypic responses related to the composition of the resident microbiota. Briefly, clinical studies conducted in adolescents or adults free of gastrointestinal diseases that utilized molecular methods to assess ≥ 2 microbes and fermentative profiles and were published in the last 5 years (2011–2016) revealed that galactooligosaccharide (GOS), inulin, xylooligosaccharide, and arabinoxylan oligosaccharides induced blooms in *Bifidobacterium* spp. while, soluble corn fiber and polydextrose stimulated more diverse changes in microbes in the *Bacteroidetes* and *Firmicutes* phyla. Main results support the designation of GOS and inulin as prebiotic fibers. The differential effects of consumption of the fibers is driven by their chemical structures. GOS are generally composed of galactose polymers linked by β -1,6 bonds and β -1,4 linkage to the terminal glucose, and a DP between 2 and 10.75. Each fiber’s distinct molecular structure provides a partial explanation for the differential effects of consumption of the human gastrointestinal microbiota.^{37, 38}

Antibiotics are another crucial point affecting our microbiota composition, leading to “eubiotic” effect or a “dysbiotic” result.³⁹ Both drugs’ characteristics (class, pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, dosage, duration, etc.) and host-related factors, including age, lifestyle and microbiota composition, should be considered in order to prevent a dysbiotic impairment.^{40, 41} It has been postulated with supporting evidence that antibiotic and other exposures during the early years of life, when the microbiome is in evolution, may be especially deleterious and could result in metabolic and inflammatory disorders later in life.⁴²

To sum up, many variables, such as Host genetics, age, and environmental factors such as where and who you live with, use of pre-, pro-, and antibiotics, exercise and diet have been described to influence the short- and long-term composition of the microbiome.^{19, 43, 44}

PHYSIOLOGICAL FUNCTIONS OF THE GUT MICROBIOTA

The intestinal microbiota is considered a signaling hub that integrates environmental inputs, such as diet, with genetic and immune signals to affect the host's metabolism, immunity, including autoimmunity and autoinflammation⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷ and elaborate networks linked to several organs.

The metabolic functions of the gut microbiota

The metabolic functions of the microbiome continue to be revealed and molecular key pathways are consistently arising.

It is long time recognized the importance of gut micro-organisms as a whole essential metabolic organ in biotransformation of bile acids and breakdown of otherwise indigestible dietary components, such as plant polysaccharides and production of short-chain fatty acids, a major energy source for colonic epithelium, from fermentable carbohydrates. In this setting, microbiota promotes absorption of monosaccharides from the gut lumen, with consequent induction of hepatic lipogenesis; moreover, it suppresses fasting-induced adipocyte factor (Fiaf), a lipoprotein lipase inhibitor, allowing the deposition of triglycerides in the adipocytes.⁴⁸ Obesity is associated with an alteration of gut microbiota composition: obese mice show a 50%

reduction in *Bacteroidetes* and a similar increase in *Firmicutes*; in addition, "obese" microbiota displays an increased capacity to harvest energy from the diet.⁴⁹ Related data come out also from humans: both gut microbiota composition and representation of metabolism-related microbial genes are different in lean versus obese twins.⁵⁰ Obesity and insulin resistance are characterized by a low-grade inflammation status.⁵¹ Gut microbiota may interfere in host metabolism by the modulation of inflammatory pathways. Enrichment in Gram-negative species results in an increase of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) absorption, which leads to the so-called "metabolic endotoxemia."⁵²

Most recent papers showed that farnesoid X receptor (FXR) regulates the synthesis, transport and enterohepatic circulation of bile acids (BA) by modulating the expression of related genes in the liver and small intestine.⁵³

The composition of the gut microbiota is linked to metabolic diseases, notably obesity and non-alcoholic fatty acid disease (NAFLD). Bacterial metabolism of bile acids can modulate FXR signaling in the intestine by altering the composition and concentrations of FXR agonist and antagonist. FXR agonist enhances while FXR antagonist suppresses obesity, NAFLD and insulin resistance.^{54, 55}

Other activities promoted by our intestinal microbes include synthesis of biotin, folate, and vitamin K. Moreover, intestinal microbiota has been linked to enteric bacterial enzymes such as azoreductase to convert prodrugs such as sulfasalazine to active drug metabolites (*e.g.*, aminosalicylate). Other examples of bacterial action on drug bioavailability include the metabolism of l-dopa to dopamine and degradation of digoxin. Not all of the metabolic changes induced by the enteric microbiota are beneficial to the host, however, and although bacteria probably

degrade some carcinogens, they also might promote the production of carcinogens from dietary procarcinogens.

The microbiome and innate immunity

Gut microbiota is strictly involved in the development of the mucosa- or, gut-associated immune system (mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue or gut-associated lymphoid tissue), immunologic tolerance, epithelial and barrier function, motility, and vascularity.^{56,57} As suggested in the most recent literature, the interactions between the innate immune system and the intestinal microbiota contribute to orchestrate the whole-organism physiology. First players in this crosstalk are the intestinal epithelial cells, extensively equipped with innate immune receptors. Pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), Toll-like receptors (TLRs), and NOD-like receptors are only few examples of molecular elements involved in the host-microbial interface.⁵⁸⁻⁶⁰ The microbiome influences the function of myeloid cells at all stages of their development.⁶¹ Notably, microbiota seems to drive myeloid-cell differentiation and function through PRR signaling. This microbiota-driven modulation in the myeloid cell pool influence the susceptibility of the host to a variety of disorder, ranging from infections till therapies for cancer disease. Even the lymphoid arm of the innate immune system is strictly related to gut microbiota, mainly involving innate lymphoid cells (ILCs).⁶² The mechanisms through which the microbiota controls the development of the innate immune are beginning to be understood although the principles and purpose of innate-immune control over temporal dynamics in microbiota function remain unknown.⁶³

Dysbiosis and impaired immune response play a key role in some digestive diseases, with particular attention to liver and pancreatic disease. Indeed, the presence of imbalance in gut microbiota is associated with endotoxemia and this propagates liver injury due to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis and alcohol. The composition and functionality of the microbiome changes with the development of cirrhosis, decompensation, and with treatments for these conditions. Gut microbiota can be used to predict clinically relevant outcomes in cirrhosis.⁶⁴

The role of the microbiome in the development of pancreatic disorders, as well, is increasingly recognized. The translocation of gut bacteria and endotoxins following gut barrier failure is a key event contributing to the severity of acute pancreatitis, while small intestine bacterial overgrowth is common in patients with chronic pancreatitis and further worsens their symptoms and malnutrition.⁶⁵ In addition, specific molecular mimicry link the microbiome and *Helicobacter pylori* with autoimmune pancreatitis. Changes in the oral microbiome typical of periodontitis seem associated with an increased risk of developing pancreatic cancer. The composition of the gut microbiota is also unbalanced in the presence of risk factors for pancreatic cancer, such as obesity, smoking and diabetes. *Helicobacter pylori* infection, atrophic body gastritis and related decreased gastric acid secretion also seem associated with the risk of pancreatic cancer, although this area needs further research.⁶⁶

The gut-brain axis

Concurrently, a growing body of evidence points to the microbiota playing a significant role in modulating brain function and

behavior and that the “microbiota-gut-brain axis” poised as a bidirectional communication pathway enabling gut microbes to communicate with the brain, and the brain with the gut.⁶⁷ The microbiota-gut-brain axis is a dynamic matrix of tissues and organs including the brain, glands, gut, immune cells and gastrointestinal microbiota that communicate in a complex multidirectional manner to maintain homeostasis.⁶⁸ The mechanisms of communication are complex and are slowly being unraveled; they include immune, neural, endocrine and metabolic pathway.

In this perspective, metabolic products of the microbiome, including neurotransmitters and neuromodulators, impact not only on the enteric neuromodulatory apparatus but also seem capable of influencing the development and function of the central nervous system.⁶⁹ Furthermore, synthesis and release of neurotransmitters from bacteria has been reported; the inhibitory neurotransmitter γ -aminobutyric acid (GABA) can be produced by *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* species, whereas *Escherichia*, *Bacillus* and *Saccharomyces* spp. can produce noradrenaline (norepinephrine). On the other hand, *Candida*, *Streptococcus*, *Escherichia*, and *Enterococcus* spp. have been reported to produce serotonin, and *Bacillus* can produce dopamine, whereas certain *Lactobacillus* spp. can produce acetylcholine.⁷⁰ These microbially-synthesized neurotransmitters can cross the mucosal layer of the intestines, and possibly mediate physiological events in the brain. Brain development has been studied in germ-free mice and several models of studies are always purposed to assess the evolution of the microbiota in ageing and neurodegenerative diseases.⁷⁰

Even mechanisms underlying visceral pain have been recently linked to alterations in gut microbes. Thus, both central and pe-

ripheral pathways associated with pain manifestation and perception are altered as a consequence of the microbiota-gut-brain axis imbalance.⁷¹ This assumed particularly importance in the subset of irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) patients. Indeed, in IBS patient cohorts, numerous independent research groups have shown distinct gut microbiota populations when compared to healthy controls. This was recently reviewed by Mayer *et al.*⁷² Moreover, probiotic interventions appear to be beneficial to IBS patients. Manipulation of the gut microbiota through the use of probiotic and prebiotics treatments have shown that by augmenting so-called “good bacteria,” such as *Bifidobacterium* and *Lactobacillus*, in the gut, visceral hypersensitivity can be reversed in preclinical models. A mixture of eight probiotic bacteria strains (VSL#3) was shown to have protective effects against development of visceral hypersensitivity in the neonatal maternal separation model. Moreover, TPH1, tryptophan hydroxylase 1, the gene for the enzyme responsible for synthesizing serotonin, a key neurotransmitter involved in IBS treatment, was markedly up-regulated by neonatal maternal separation and this effect was reversed by VSL#3 intervention.⁷³ *Bifidobacterium* species particularly, *Bifidobacterium infantis* 35624 has been shown to be particularly effective at ameliorating visceral hyperalgesia in both stress-induced visceral hypersensitivity and colitis.⁷⁴

CONCLUSIONS

Several mechanisms of our health have been linked to the rich microbial heritage housed in our gastrointestinal tract and many others hypothesis will be formulated regard to the imbalance of each organ. The most ad-

vanced discover was to understand that gut microbiota is an essential signaling hub, involving pattern more complex than merely expected intestinal functions.

Most research available in this setting arose from studies on animal models, and only in the last years did researchers investigate how gut microbiota affects human physiology, leading to several diseases in case of impairment. From this point of view, intestinal microbes have become the target of therapies able to modulate the microbiota assessment and to date many molecules are suggested as possible treatment.^{75-78, 83} Fecal transplantation has become a recognized treatment for *C. difficile* infection antibiotic-resistant,⁷⁹⁻⁸⁵ but also it is arising, as well, as a promising practical in several diseases. Unexplored features of our microbiome are still extended and future trials on human patients need to be performed to reach a complete knowledge of this symbiotic treasure.

REFERENCES

- Ianiro G, Bruno G, Lopetuso L, Beghella FB, Laterza L, D'Aversa F, *et al.* Role of yeasts in healthy and impaired gut microbiota: the gut mycome. *Curr Pharm Des* 2014;20:4565-9.
- Sekirov I, Russell SL, Antunes LC, Finlay BB. Gut microbiota in health and disease. *Physiol Rev* 2010;90:859-904.
- Ianiro G, Molina-Infante J, Gasbarrini A. Gastric Microbiota. *Helicobacter* 2015;20(Suppl 1):68-71.
- Vitale G, Barbaro F, Ianiro G, Cesario V, Gasbarrini G, Franceschi F, *et al.* Nutritional aspects of *Helicobacter pylori* infection. *Minerva Gastroenterol Dietol* 2011;57:369-77.
- Falony G, Joossens M, Vieira-Silva S, Wang J, Darzi Y, Faust K, *et al.* Population-level analysis of gut microbiome variation. *Science* 2016;352:560-4.
- NIH HMP Working Group, Peterson J, Garges S, Giovanni M, McInnes P, Wang L, *et al.* The NIH Human Microbiome Project. *Genome Res* 2009;19:2317-23.
- Qin J, Li R, Raes J, Arumugam M, Burgdorf KS, Manichanh C, *et al.* A human gut microbial gene catalogue established by metagenomic sequencing. *Nature* 2010;464:59-65.
- Arumugam M, Raes J, Pelletier E, Le Paslier D, Yamada T, Mende DR, *et al.* Enterotypes of the human gut microbiome. *Nature* 2011;473:174-80.
- Zhernakova A, Kurilshikov A, Bonder MJ, Tigchelaar EF, Schirmer M, Vatanen T, *et al.* Population-based metagenomics analysis reveals markers for gut microbiome composition and diversity. *Science* 2016;352:565-9.
- Wu GD, Chen J, Hoffmann C, Bittinger K, Chen YY, Keilbaugh SA, *et al.* Linking long-term dietary patterns with gut microbial enterotypes. *Science* 2011;334:105-8.
- Kuczynski J, Lauber CL, Walters WA, Parfrey LW, Clemente JC, Gevers D, *et al.* Experimental and analytical tools for studying the human microbiome. *Nat Rev Genet* 2012;13:47-58.
- Stewart EJ. Growing Unculturable Bacteria. *Journal of Bacteriology* 2012;194:4151-60.
- Human Microbiome Project Consortium. Structure, function and diversity of the healthy human microbiome. *Nature* 2012;486:207-14.
- Qin J, Li R, Raes J, Arumugam M, Burgdorf KS, Manichanh C, *et al.* A human gut microbial gene catalogue established by metagenomic sequencing. *Nature* 2010;464:59-65.
- Sanschagrin S, Yergeau E. Next-generation sequencing of 16S Ribosomal RNA Gene Amplicons 2014:e51709.
- Sims D, Sudbery I, Ilott NE, Heger A, Ponting CP. Sequencing depth and coverage: key considerations in genomic analyses. *Nat Rev Genet* 2014;15:121-32.
- Luo C, Rodriguez RL, Konstantinidis KT. A user's guide to quantitative and comparative analysis of metagenomic datasets. *Methods Enzymol* 2013;531:525-47.
- Luo C, Rodriguez RL, Konstantinidis KT. MyTaxa: an advanced taxonomic classifier for genomic and metagenomic sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2014;42:e73.
- Donovan SM. Introduction to the special focus issue on the impact of diet on gut microbiota composition and function and future opportunities for nutritional modulation of the gut microbiome to improve human health. *Gut Microbes* 2017 Feb 28. [Epub ahead of print].

20. Chu DM, Meyer KM, Prince AL, Aagaard KM. Impact of maternal nutrition in pregnancy and lactation on offspring gut microbial composition and function. *Gut Microbes* 2016;7:459-70.
21. Collado MC, Rautava S, Aakko J, Isolauri E, Salminen S. Human gut colonisation may be initiated in utero by distinct microbial communities in the placenta and amniotic fluid. *Sci Rep* 2016;6:23129.
22. Aagaard K, Ma J, Antony KM, Ganu R, Petrosino J, Versalovic J. The placenta harbors a unique microbiome. *Sci Transl Med* 2014;6:237ra65.
23. Penders J, Thijs C, Vink C, Stelma FF, Snijders B, Kummeling I, *et al.* Factors influencing the composition of the intestinal microbiota in early infancy. *Pediatrics* 2006;118:511-21.
24. Palmer C, Bik EM, DiGiulio DB, Relman DA, Brown PO. Development of the human infant intestinal microbiota. *PLoS Biol* 2007;5:1556-73.
25. Koenig JE, Spor A, Scalfone N, Fricker AD, Stombaugh J, Knight R, *et al.* Succession of microbial consortia in the developing infant gut microbiome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2011;108:4578-85.
26. Marques TM, Wall R, Ross RP, Fitzgerald GF, Ryan CA, Stanton C. Programming infant gut microbiota: influence of dietary and environmental factors. *Curr Opin Biotechnol* 2010;21:149-56.
27. Fouhy F, Ross RP, Fitzgerald GF, Stanton C, Cotter PD. Composition of the early intestinal microbiota: knowledge, knowledge gaps and the use of high-throughput sequencing to address these gaps. *Gut Microbes* 2012;3:1-18.
28. Dominguez-Bello MG, Costello EK, Contreras M, Magris M, Hidalgo G, Fierer N, *et al.* Delivery mode shapes the acquisition and structure of the initial microbiota across multiple body habitats in newborns. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2010;107:11971-5.
29. Viggiano D, Ianiro G, Vanella G, Bibbò S, Bruno G, Simeone G, *et al.* Gut barrier in health and disease: focus on childhood. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci* 2015;19:1077-85.
30. Chu MD. Maturation of the infant microbiome community structure and function across multiple body sites and in relation to mode of delivery. *Nat Medicine* 2017;23:314-28.
31. Chu MD. The early infant gut microbiome varies in association with a maternal high-fat diet. *Genome Medicine* 2016;8:77.
32. Robinson A, Fiechtner L, Roche B, Ajami NJ, Petrosino JF, Camargo CA Jr, *et al.* Association of Maternal Gestational Weight Gain With the Infant Fecal Microbiota. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 2017 Mar 7. [Epub ahead of print]
33. O'Toole PW, Claesson MJ. Gut microbiota: changes throughout the lifespan from infancy to elderly. *Int Dairy J* 2010;20:281-91.
34. Claesson MJ, Jeffery IB, Conde S, Power SE, O'Connor EM, Cusack S, *et al.* Gut microbiota composition correlates with diet and health in the elderly. *Nature* 2012;488:178-84.
35. Bibbò S, Ianiro G, Giorgio V, Scaldaferrri F, Masucci L, Gasbarrini A, *et al.* The role of diet on gut microbiota composition. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci* 2016;20:4742-9.
36. Holscher HD. Dietary fiber and prebiotics and the gastrointestinal microbiota. *Gut Microbes* 2017 Feb 6. [Epub ahead of print]
37. Currò D, Ianiro G, Pecere S, Bibbò S, Cammarota G. Probiotics, fibre and herbal medicinal products for functional and inflammatory bowel disorders. *Br J Pharmacol* 2016 Oct 3. [Epub ahead of print]
38. Donovan SM. Introduction to the special focus issue on the impact of diet on gut microbiota composition and function and future opportunities for nutritional modulation of the gut microbiome to improve human health. *Gut Microbes* 2017 Feb 28. [Epub ahead of print]
39. Ianiro G, Tilg H, Gasbarrini A. Antibiotics as deep modulators of gut microbiota: between good and evil. *Gut* 2016;65:1906-15.
40. Ponziani FR, Binda C, Gasbarrini A. How to modulate gut microbiota: diet, pre-probiotics or antibiotics? *Minerva Gastroenterol Dietol* 2017 Feb 17. [Epub ahead of print]
41. Blaser MJ. Antibiotic use and its consequences for the normal microbiome. *Science* 2016;352:544-5.
42. Modi SR, Collins JJ, Relman DA. Antibiotics and the gut microbiota. *J Clin Invest* 2014;124:4212-8.
43. Paroni Sterbini F, Palladini A, Masucci L, Cannistraci CV, Pastorino R, Ianiro G, *et al.* Effects of Proton Pump Inhibitors on the Gastric Mucosa-Associated Microbiota in Dyspeptic Patients. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2016;82:6633-44.
44. Yatsunencko T, Rey FE, Manary MJ, Trehan I, Dominguez-Bello MG, Contreras M, *et al.* Human gut microbiome viewed across age and geography. *Nature* 2012;486:222-7.
45. Lopetuso LR, Ianiro G, Scaldaferrri F, Cammarota G, Gasbarrini A. Gut Virome and Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2016;22:1708-12.

46. Cammarota G, Ianiro G, Cianci R, Bibbò S, Gasbarrini A, Currò D. The involvement of gut microbiota in inflammatory bowel disease pathogenesis: potential for therapy. *Pharmacol Ther* 2015;149:191-212.
47. Ianiro G, Cammarota G, Valerio L, Annicchiarico BE, Milani A, Siciliano M, *et al.* Microscopic colitis. *World J Gastroenterol* 2012;18:6206-15.
48. Bäckhed F, Ding H, Wang T, Hooper LV, Koh GY, Nagy A, *et al.* The gut microbiota as an environmental factor that regulates fat storage. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2004;101:15718-23.
49. Ley RE, Bäckhed F, Turnbaugh P, Lozupone CA, Knight RD, Gordon JI. Obesity alters gut microbial ecology. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2005;102:11070-5.
50. Turnbaugh PJ, Quince C, Faith JJ, McHardy AC, Yatsunenkov T, Niaz F, *et al.* Organismal, genetic, and transcriptional variation in the deeply sequenced gut microbiomes of identical twins. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2010;107:7503-8.
51. Tilg H, Moschen AR, Kaser A. Obesity and microbiota. *Gastroenterology* 2009;136:1476-83.
52. Esteve E, Ricart W, Fernández-Real JM. Gut microbiota interactions with obesity, insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes: did gut microbiota co-evolve with insulin resistance? *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care* 2011;14:483-90.
53. Zhang L, Xie C, Nichols RG, Chan SH, Jiang C, Hao R, *et al.* Farnesoid X Receptor Signaling Shapes the Gut Microbiota and Controls Hepatic Lipid Metabolism. *mSystems* 2016;1(5).
54. Gonzalez FJ, Jiang C, Xie C, Patterson AD. Intestinal Farnesoid X Receptor Signaling Modulates Metabolic Disease. *Dig Dis* 2017;35:178-84.
55. D'Aversa F, Tortora A, Ianiro G, Ponziani FR, Annicchiarico BE, Gasbarrini A. Gut microbiota and metabolic syndrome. *Intern Emerg Med* 2013;8(Suppl 1):S11-5.
56. O'Hara AM, Shanahan F. The gut flora as a forgotten organ. *EMBO Rep* 2006;7:688-93.
57. Turnbaugh PJ, Gordon JI. The core gut microbiome, energy balance and obesity. *J Physiol* 2009;587:4153-8.
58. Armbruster NS, Richardson JR, Schreiner J, Klenk J, Günter M, Autenrieth SE. Staphylococcus aureus PSM peptides induce tolerogenic dendritic cells upon treatment with ligands of extracellular and intracellular TLRs. *Int J Med Microbiol* 2016;306:666-74.
59. Purchiaroni F, Tortora A, Gabrielli M, Bertucci F, Gigante G, Ianiro G, *et al.* The role of intestinal microbiota and the immune system. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci* 2013;17:323-33.
60. Gigante G, Tortora A, Ianiro G, Ojetti V, Purchiaroni F, Campanale M, *et al.* Role of gut microbiota in food tolerance and allergies. *Dig Dis* 2011;29:540-9.
61. Khosravi A, Yáñez A, Price JG, Chow A, Merad M, Goodridge HS, *et al.* Gut microbiota promote hematopoiesis to control bacterial infection. *Cell Host Microbe* 2014;15:374-81.
62. Kau AL, Ahern PP, Griffin NW, Goodman AL, Gordon JI. Human nutrition, the gut microbiome and the immune system. *Nature* 2011;474:327-36.
63. Thaiss CA, Zmora N, Levy M, Elinav E. The microbiome and innate immunity. *Nature* 2016;535:65-74.
64. Acharya C, Bajaj JS. Gut Microbiota and Complications of Liver Disease. *Gastroenterol Clin North Am* 2017;46:155-69.
65. Tilg H, Adolph TE. Beyond Digestion: The Pancreas Shapes Intestinal Microbiota and Immunity. *Cell Metab* 2017;25:495-6.
66. Signoretti M, Roggiolani R, Stornello C, Delle Fave G, Capurso G. Gut microbiota and pancreatic diseases. *Minerva Gastroenterol Dietol* 2017 Feb 24. [Epub ahead of print].
67. Dinan TG, Cryan JF. Gut instincts: microbiota as a key regulator of brain development, ageing and neurodegeneration. *J Physiol* 2017;595:489-503.
68. Rea K, Dinan T, Cryan JF. The microbiome: A key regulator of stress and neuroinflammation. *Neurobiology of Stress* 2016;4:23-33.
69. Mangiola F, Ianiro G, Franceschi F, Fagioli S, Gasbarrini G, Gasbarrini A. Gut microbiota in autism and mood disorders. *World J Gastroenterol* 2016;22:361-8.
70. Dinan TG, Cryan JF. Gut-brain axis in 2016: Brain-gut-microbiota axis - mood, metabolism and behaviour. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2017;14:69-70.
71. Rea K, O'Mahony SM, Dinan TG, Cryan JF. The Role of the Gastrointestinal Microbiota in Visceral Pain. *Handb Exp Pharmacol* 2016 Dec 30. [Epub ahead of print]
72. Mayer EA, Savidge T, Shulman RJ. Brain-gut microbiome interactions and functional bowel disorders. *Gastroenterology* 2014;146:1500-12.
73. Dai C, Guandalini S, Zhao DH, Jiang M. Antinociceptive effect of VSL#3 on visceral hy-

- persensitivity in a rat model of irritable bowel syndrome: a possible action through nitric oxide pathway and enhance barrier function. *Mol Cell Biochem* 2012;362:43-53.
74. McKernan DP, Fitzgerald P, Dinan TG, Cryan JF. The probiotic *Bifidobacterium infantis* 35624 displays visceral antinociceptive effects in the rat. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2010;22:1029-35.
 75. Scarpellini E, Ianiro G, Attili F, Bassanelli C, De Santis A, Gasbarrini A. The human gut microbiota and virome: Potential therapeutic implications. *Dig Liver Dis* 2015;47:1007-12.
 76. Cammarota G, Pecere S, Ianiro G, Masucci L, Currò D. Principles of DNA-Based Gut Microbiota Assessment and Therapeutic Efficacy of Fecal Microbiota Transplantation in Gastrointestinal Diseases. *Dig Dis* 2016;34:279-85.
 77. Ojetti V, Ianiro G, Tortora A, D'Angelo G, Di Rienzo TA, Bibbò S, *et al.* The effect of *Lactobacillus reuteri* supplementation in adults with chronic functional constipation: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *J Gastrointest Liver Dis* 2014;23:387-91.
 78. Ianiro G, Mangiola F, Di Rienzo TA, Bibbò S, Franceschi F, Greco AV, *et al.* Levothyroxine absorption in health and disease, and new therapeutic perspectives. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci* 2014;18:451-6.
 79. Cammarota G, Ianiro G, Tilg H, Rajilić-Stojanović M, Kump P, Satokari R, *et al.*; European FMT Working Group. European consensus conference on faecal microbiota transplantation in clinical practice. *Gut* 2017;66:569-80.
 80. Ianiro G, Bibbò S, Gasbarrini A, Cammarota G. Therapeutic modulation of gut microbiota: current clinical applications and future perspectives. *Curr Drug Targets* 2014;15:762-70.
 81. Cammarota G, Ianiro G, Bibbò S, Gasbarrini A. Gut microbiota modulation: probiotics, antibiotics or fecal microbiota transplantation? *Intern Emerg Med* 2014;9:365-73.
 82. Cammarota G, Ianiro G, Magalini S, Gasbarrini A, Gui D. Decrease in Surgery for *Clostridium difficile* Infection After Starting a Program to Transplant Fecal Microbiota. *Ann Intern Med* 2015;163:487-8.
 83. Cani PD, Delzenne NM. The gut microbiome as therapeutic target. *Pharmacol Ther* 2011;130:202-12.
 84. Ianiro G, Valerio L, Masucci L, Pecere S, Bibbò S, Quaranta G, *et al.* Predictors of failure after single faecal microbiota transplantation in patients with recurrent *Clostridium difficile* infection: results from a 3-year, single-centre cohort study. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2017 Jan 3. [Epub ahead of print]
 85. Bibbò S, Lopetuso LR, Ianiro G, Di Rienzo T, Gasbarrini A, Cammarota G. Role of microbiota and innate immunity in recurrent *Clostridium difficile* infection. *J Immunol Res* 2014;2014:462740.